



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,471	11/21/2003	Nicholas S. Huslak	030340 (BLL-0121)	3633
36192	7590	11/27/2007		
CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			MIRZADEGAN, SAEED S	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/719,471

Applicant(s)

HUSLAK ET AL.

Examiner

Saeed S. Mirzadegan

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Saeed S. Mirzadegan.

(3) William Vaughn.

(2) John Young.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed Amendments to Claim 1 was discussed. An agreement was reached that the proposed Amendments to Claim 1 are sufficient to overcome the objections to Claim 1 cited in the Non-final office action mailed on 6/14/2007. However the proposed Amendments to Claim 1 are not sufficient to overcome the Art Rejections. The Examiner will consider the merits based on the finalized Amendments to the Claims & arguments that the applicant will be filing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required